



CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE

**SPECIAL MAGISTRATE
VIRTUAL/IN PERSON HYBRID HEARING
1st FLOOR COMMISSION CHAMBERS
FORT LAUDERDALE CITY HALL
MARK PURDY PRESIDING
SEPTEMBER 14, 2021
8:30 A.M.**

Staff Present:

Christine Chaney, Administrative Assistant
Monique Drake, Administrative Assistant
Loen Garrick, Administrative Assistant
Crystal Green-Griffith, Administrative Assistant
Katrina Jordan, Administrative Supervisor
Rhonda Hasan, Assistant City Attorney
Stephanie Bass, Code Compliance Supervisor
Todd Belback, Code Compliance Officer
Gustavo Caracas, Code Compliance Officer
Julio Davila, Code Compliance Supervisor
Paulette Del Grosso, Code Compliance Officer
Manuel Garcia, Sr. Code Compliance Officer
Patt Gavin, Code Compliance Officer
Robert Kisarewich, Fire Safety Captain
Dorian Koloian, Senior Code Compliance Officer
Malaika Murray, Code Compliance Officer
Wilson Quintero, Sr. Code Compliance Officer
Bernstein Saimbert, Code Compliance Officer
Reginald White, Code Compliance Supervisor

Respondents and witnesses

CE21030002: Lorenzo Malina	CE21060282: Reginald Moncrief
CE19110032: Mitchell Scarone	CE21030122: James Shaffell
CE21060454: Dana Konshe	CE21070791: Elizabeth Williams
CE17062534: Michael Burke, attorney; Gaspar Forteza	CE19020850: Ronald Coletto
CE21010622; CE21010627: James Husky, attorney	CE20101083; CE20100750: Jordan Brown
CE21070728: Luke Moorman	CE21050969: Rachel Jacobson
CE21030991: Bradford Smith	CE21031058: Narain Lalwani
CE19090635: Michael McDavid	CE20060750: Yasel Lopez Ramirez; Javier Ortiz
CE20110140: Angie Espinoza	CE21070370: Danielle Lyles
CE20120191: John and Arlene Esin	CE21030114: Thomas Loftus
CE20100197: David Natt; Kevin McMak	FC21080004; FC21080005; FC21080006; CE21080003:
CE20110391: Mr. Banks	Thomas Rowe
CE19081904: Lauren Kluffner	

NOTE: All individuals who presented information to the Special Magistrate during these proceedings were sworn in.

The meeting was called to order at 8:30 A.M.

Case: CE17062534

744 NW 5 AVE

MOFORIS, RENA MARIE

CITY'S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF ORDER

Michael Burke, attorney, said he believed this order would be consistent with the opinion of the Circuit Court and once the order was entered, Mr. Forteza could file a motion with the Circuit Court to seek a determination regarding the amount of fees.

Special Magistrate Hearing

September 14, 2021

Page 2

Gaspar Forteza, attorney for the respondent, stated this was not correct; the Circuit Court's order required the Special Magistrate to determine the amount for sanctions, and attorney's fees, to be issued against the City in favor of his client. He agreed the Special Magistrate must vacate the previously entered erroneous order pursuant to the appeal to the Circuit Court on which the respondent had prevailed. He said the City had prosecuted an action without any supporting fact or law and pursuant to 57-105, the Circuit Court had granted his motion for sanctions and attorney's fees and ruled that the Special Magistrate must hold further proceedings to determine the reasonable amount of fees and costs incurred by Mr. Forteza's client in defending this frivolous action. The City was asking the Special Magistrate to interpret case law to say that the Special Magistrate had no jurisdiction to carry out the mandate of the Circuit Court.

Mr. Forteza stated the City's argument was premised on cases relating to the award of legal fees as prevailing parties under Chapter 120 and was arguing that municipalities were not subject to Chapter 120. This was not a case where the Special Magistrate was being asked to award prevailing parties' attorney's fees under Chapter 120; this was a case where the Circuit Court had issued a mandate directing this Special Magistrate to determine legal fees incurred as sanctions under 57-105. Mr. Forteza requested Judge Purdy issue the order vacating the final order of violation and schedule the evidentiary hearing to determine the sum to be paid by the City to Ms. Moforis.

Mr. Burke agreed that the previous order was reversed by the Circuit Court and should be vacated. He said there was a question of whether 57-105 allowed something other than a court to determine and award attorney's fees. He stated 57-105 provided what a "court" can do, and the Special Magistrate hearing was not a court. Therefore, this matter must go back to the Circuit Court so a court could award attorney's fees.

Mr. Forteza said these were fine arguments for appeal, which the City had not elected to do. He read from the Circuit Court's mandate: "Appellant Rena Marie Moforis' motion for award of attorney's fees is hereby granted as to appellate attorney's fees, with the amount to be determined by the lower tribunal remand."

Judge Purdy vacated the prior order.

Judge Purdy stated he had not read in detail the City's case law citations and authority claiming Judge Purdy did not have jurisdiction to comply with the Circuit Court order. He requested Mr. Forteza provide him with his own case law authority that the Special Magistrate had jurisdiction. Mr. Forteza agreed to provide a memorandum of law and a proposed order within 10 days. Mr. Burke and Ms. Hasan said it was not necessary for a second hearing for Judge Purdy to announce his determination.

Case: CE21070791

2648 SW 7 ST

WILLIAMS, ELIZABETH

Service was via posting at the property on 8/25/21 and at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Manuel Garcia, Senior Code Compliance Officer, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: 9-304(b)

THERE ARE VEHICLES AND TRAILERS PARKED ON THE GRASS/LAWN AREA.

9-305(b)

THE LANDSCAPE AT THIS PROPERTY IS NOT BEING MAINTAINED IN A NEAT AND WELL-KEPT APPEARANCE. THERE ARE AREAS OF DEAD AND MISSING GROUND COVER.

47-34.4.B.1. **Withdrawn**

18-4.(c)

THERE ARE DERELICT VEHICLES AND/OR TRAILER ON THE PROPERTY.

Officer Garcia presented the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 105 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Special Magistrate Hearing
September 14, 2021
Page 3

Elizabeth Williams, owner, agreed.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 105 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Case: FC21080004

5900 NW 28 WAY, # A-3
CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE
% FT LAUD EXECUTIVE AIRPORT

Personal service was made on 8/30/21. Service was also via posting at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Captain Robert Kisarewich, Fire Inspections Officer, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: 1:19.1.2, FFPC 6th
COMBUSTIBLE WASTE MATERIAL HAS ACCUMULATED IN A MANNER THAT CREATES A
FIRE HAZARD TO LIFE OR PROPERTY.

NFPA 101:43.7.2, FFP
THERE HAS BEEN A CHANGE OF USE THAT REQUIRES A PERMIT. STORAGE USED
FOR INDUSTRIAL.

Captain Kisarewich presented the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$100 per day, per violation.

Thomas Rowe, general manager, said they had already informed the tenant they must comply by September 1 or they would be in default of their lease.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$100 per day, per violation.

Case: FC21080005

5950 NW 28 WAY, # C-6
CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE
% FT LAUD EXECUTIVE AIRPORT

Personal service was made on 8/30/21. Service was also via posting at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Captain Robert Kisarewich, Fire Inspections Officer, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: NFPA 101:43.7.2, FFP
THERE HAS BEEN A CHANGE OF USE THAT REQUIRES A PERMIT. THE HANGARS
USED FOR STORAGE ARE NOW BEING USED AS INDUSTRIAL.

Captain Kisarewich presented the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$100 per day.

Thomas Rowe, general manager, said they had already informed the tenant they must comply by September 1 or they would be in default of their lease.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$100 per day.

Case: FC21080006

6000 NW 28 WAY, # D-2
CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE
% FT LAUD EXECUTIVE AIRPORT

Personal service was made on 8/30/21. Service was also via posting at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Special Magistrate Hearing

September 14, 2021

Page 4

Captain Robert Kisarewich, Fire Inspections Officer, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: NFPA 101:43.7.2, FFP

THERE HAS BEEN A CHANGE OF USE THAT REQUIRES A PERMIT. STORAGE USED AS INDUSTRIAL.

1:13.6.4.3.2.1, FFPC

THE FIRE EXTINGUISHER(S) HAS/HAVE NOT BEEN SERVICED AND TAGGED BY A CERTIFIED PERSON/COMPANY WITHIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS.

Captain Kisarewich presented the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$100 per day, per violation.

Thomas Rowe, general manager, agreed.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$100 per day, per violation.

Case: FC21080003

6030 NW 28 WAY, # E-3

CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE

% FT LAUD EXECUTIVE AIRPORT

Service was via posting at the property on 8/30/21 and at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Captain Robert Kisarewich, Fire Inspections Officer, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: NFPA 101:43.7.2, FFP

THERE HAS BEEN A CHANGE OF USE THAT REQUIRES A PERMIT. STORAGE USED AS INDUSTRIAL.

1:13.6.4.3.2.1, FFPC

THE FIRE EXTINGUISHER(S) HAS/HAVE NOT BEEN SERVICED AND TAGGED BY A CERTIFIED PERSON/COMPANY WITHIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS.

Captain Kisarewich presented the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$100 per day, per violation.

Thomas Rowe, general manager, agreed.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$100 per day, per violation.

Case: CE21060282

1708 NW 8 PL

PEACEFUL ZION MISSIONARY BAPTIST;

CHURCH OF FORT LAUDERDALE INC

Service was via posting at the property on 8/18/21 and at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Julio Davila, Code Compliance Supervisor, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: 9-304(b)

THERE ARE VEHICLES/TRAILERS PARKED ON THE GRASS/LAWN AREA SUCH AS LARGE BUSES AND A FOOD TRUCK.

9-280(h)(1)

THE FENCE AT THIS PROPERTY IS IN DISREPAIR AND IS NOT BEING MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED. THE FENCE HAS MISSING PARTS AND IS BENT IN SEVERAL SECTIONS.

18-4.(c)

THERE ARE DERELICT VEHICLES AND/OR TRAILERS ON THE SWALE (OR) ON THE PROPERTY SUCH AS LARGE BUSES AND A FOOD TRUCK.

18-12.(a) **Complied**

THERE IS OVERGROWTH, TRASH, RUBBISH AND DEBRIS ON THIS PROPERTY AND/OR ITS SWALE.

Supervisor Davila presented the case file into evidence and said the owner had agreed to Mr. Davila recommending ordering compliance within 63 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 63 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Case: CE21030991

1009 MANGO ISLE
SMITH, BRADFORD

This case was first heard on 6/8/21 to comply by 6/22/21. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was in compliance, fines had accrued to \$1,850 and the City was requesting the full fine be imposed.

Wilson Quintero, Senior Code Compliance Officer, recommended imposition of the fines.

Bradford Smith said in June, he had been in Tajikistan and had hired a company to take care of the lawn. He displayed text messages and photos sent by the landscaper indicating the dates the property was mowed. Mr. Smith was contesting the date of compliance. Officer Quintero said the property had not been in compliance; the backyard had always been overgrown. Officer Quintero stated administrative costs totaled \$626.

Judge Purdy imposed administrative costs of \$626.

Case: CE21030002

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION

615 SE 7 ST
L&M COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES INC

This case was first heard on 5/11/21 to comply by 6/15/21. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was in compliance, and fines had accrued to \$1,050.

Gustavo Caracas, Code Compliance Officer, recommended imposition of the fines, and said administrative costs totaled \$571.

Lorenzo Malina, managing director, thanked the City.

Judge Purdy imposed administrative costs of \$571.

Case: CE19020850

2775 NW 63 CT
CHARLESTON ENTERPRISES LLC

This case was first heard on 8/1/19 to comply by 10/31/19. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was in compliance, fines had accrued to \$24,200 and the City was requesting a \$1,427 fine be imposed.

Bernstein Saimbert, Code Compliance Officer, recommended reducing the amount owed to \$1,427 to cover administrative costs.

Ronald Coletto explained the delay, and said the agreement to comply was signed prior to the pandemic. He requested the administrative fees be cut in half.

Judge Purdy imposed administrative costs of \$1,427.

Case: CE20060750

3300 SW 17 ST
RAMIREZ, YASEL LOPEZ

This case was first heard on 1/12/21 to comply by 2/15/21. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was in compliance, fines had accrued to \$13,200 and the City was requesting the full fine be imposed.

Manuel Garcia, Senior Code Compliance Officer, said the owner had been in constant contact with him and explained the owner's family had been affected by the pandemic, preventing him from attending a previous hearing. He recommended imposition of the fines, and said administrative costs totaled \$884.

Gustavo Caracas, Code Compliance Officer, acted as interpreter for the owner, Yasel Lopez Ramirez. Mr. Ramirez indicated he had lost his job. Officer Garcia said Mr. Ramirez had provided documents regarding the cost to replace the trees.

Judge Purdy imposed administrative costs of \$884.

Case: CE19090635

1200 SW 31 ST
YEE, SPENCER & RAZAVILAR, PEJEMAN
MCDAVID, MICHAEL & LEE, EUGENE

This case was first heard on 2/6/20 to comply by 3/12/20. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was in compliance, fines had accrued to \$33,750 and the City was requesting the full fine be imposed.

Wilson Quintero, Senior Code Compliance Officer, recommended imposition of the fines.

Michael McDavid said the property had been vacant for years and a neighbor had been dumping on the property. The owners had decided to demolish the property and this had been delayed during the pandemic. The house had been demolished within 15 days of the City issuing the permit. Officer Quintero stated the administrative costs were \$921.

Judge Purdy imposed a fine of \$2,000 for the time the property was out of compliance.

Case: CE21030122

1711 SW 38 AVE
SHAFFELL, JAMES M

Service was via posting at the property on 8/30/21 and at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Manuel Garcia, Senior Code Compliance Officer, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: 9-304(b)

THERE ARE VEHICLES/TRAILERS PARKED ON THE GRASS/LAWN AREA. THE GRAVEL DRIVEWAY ON THIS OCCUPIED PROPERTY IS NOT BEING MAINTAINED. THERE ARE AREAS OF THE GRAVEL DRIVEWAY THAT HAS GRASS GROWING THROUGH IT.

47-34.1.A.1.

THERE IS ILLEGAL LAND USE OCCURRING AT THIS PROPERTY. THERE IS OPEN AIR STORAGE INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE FRONT AND SIDES OF THIS RS-8 ZONED RESIDENTIAL MULTIFAMILY LOW RISE/MEDIUM DENSITY PROPERTY. THIS IS A NON-PERMITTED USE PER SECTION 47-5.11.

47-34.4.B.1. **Withdrawn**

9-278(e) **Complied**

THERE ARE SHUTTERS INSTALLED ON SEVERAL WINDOWS OF THE HOME PREVENTING PROPER VENTILATION OF THE HOME AND PREVENTING EGRESS IN AN EMERGENCY.

18-12(a)

THERE IS OVERGROWTH, TRASH, RUBBISH AND DEBRIS ON THIS PROPERTY AND/OR ITS SWALE.

18-4(c)

THERE IS A DERELICT VEHICLE OR TRAILER ON THE PROPERTY. THERE ARE SEVERAL VEHICLES, MOTORCYCLES AND WATERCRAFT.

Officer Garcia presented the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 42 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

James Shaffell said he had suffered two heart attacks in April and lost his job because of his health issues. Officer Garcia suggested 63 days.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 63 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Case: CE21060454

736 NW 2 AVE

RH INVESTMENT HOLDINGS LLC

Service was via posting at the property on 8/17/21 and at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Gustavo Caracas, Code Compliance Officer, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: 9-276(c)(3)

THERE IS EVIDENCE OF TERMITE/INSECT INFESTATION AT THIS PROPERTY.

18-12.(a)

THERE IS OVERGROWTH, TRASH, RUBBISH AND DEBRIS ON THIS PROPERTY AND/OR ITS SWALE.

9-280(b)

THERE ARE BUILDING PARTS WHICH ARE DETERIORATED AND NOT MAINTAINED INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO INTERIOR WALLS, CEILING AND DOORS.

9-280(g)

THERE ARE ELECTRICAL WIRES AND ACCESSORIES NOT MAINTAINED IN A GOOD, SAFE WORKING CONDITION, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO NON-FUNCTIONAL RECEPTACLES, OUTLETS, AND APPLIANCES.

9-280(f)

THE PLUMBING AT THIS PROPERTY IS IN DISREPAIR AND NOT BEING MAINTAINED IN PROPER WORKING ORDER, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE PLUMBING FIXTURE IN THE BATHTUB.

Officer Caracas presented the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 14 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Dana Konshe said the tenants were not allowing access to the unit and she had begun eviction proceedings. She requested time to complete the eviction.

Officer Caracas recommended 35 days.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 35 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

The following two cases for the same address were heard together:

Case: CE20101083

2817 E OAKLAND PARK BLVD
ACS 2817 LLC

This case was first heard on 2/9/21 to comply by 3/15/21. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was in compliance, fines had accrued to \$4,100 and the City was requesting the full fine be imposed.

Dorian Koloian, Senior Code Compliance Officer, recommended reducing the amount owed to \$847 to cover administrative costs.

Jordan Brown described their efforts to comply.

Judge Purdy imposed administrative costs of \$847.

Case: CE20100750

2817 E OAKLAND PARK BLVD
ACS 2817 LLC

This case was first heard on 2/9/21 to comply by 3/15/21. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was in compliance, fines had accrued to \$4,100 and the City was requesting the full fine be imposed.

Dorian Koloian, Senior Code Compliance Officer, recommended reducing the amount owed to \$847 to cover administrative costs.

Judge Purdy imposed administrative costs of \$847

The following two cases for the same owner were heard together:

Case: CE21010622

801 NE 62 ST
FIRTH PROPERTIES 899 NE 62ND LLC

This case was first heard on 6/8/21 to comply by 8/10/21. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance, fines had accrued to \$1,700 and the City was requesting imposition of the fines, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Dorian Koloian, Senior Code Compliance Officer, recommended imposition of the fines.

James Husky, attorney, read an email from Porshia Williams, Code Compliance Manager, indicating that only the parking facilities violations remained at each property, that fines for the valet service had been vacated at the June hearing, and that Mr. Husky could request an extension regarding the parking lot at this hearing. He said they needed a new survey for the permit application and requested a 60-day extension.

Judge Purdy granted a 56-day extension, during which time no fines would accrue.

Case: CE21010627

899 NE 62 ST
FIRTH PROPERTIES 899 NE 62ND LLC

This case was first heard on 6/8/21 to comply by 8/10/21. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The

property was not in compliance, fines had accrued to \$1,700 and the City was requesting imposition of the fines, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Judge Purdy granted a 56-day extension, during which time no fines would accrue.

Case: CE21070370

3540 SW 3 ST
BUSBY, KAIANO JAMAL

Service was via posting at the property on 8/23/21 and at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Patt Gavin, Code Compliance Officer, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: 18-11.(a)

THE POOL AT THIS OCCUPIED PROPERTY HAS DIRTY, STAGNANT WATER AND IS NOT BEING MAINTAINED ON A REGULAR BASIS. IN THIS CONDITION IT PRESENTS A HEALTH AND SAFETY ISSUE FOR THE COMMUNITY IN THAT IT MAY BECOME A BREEDING GROUND FOR MOSQUITOES AND IS A PUBLIC NUISANCE.

9-306 Complied

THE EXTERIOR BUILDING WALLS HAVE NOT BEEN MAINTAINED. THERE ARE AREAS OF THE EXTERIOR THAT HAVE STAINS AND MISSING, PEELING PAINT.

Officer Gavin presented the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 28 days or a fine of \$25 per day.

Judge Purdy took a brief recess.

Upon returning to the case, Danielle Lyles, the owner's daughter, said her father was disabled. She said she added chlorine to the pool every day. She stated she was doing her best to keep the pool clean but she could not afford to have someone service the pool. She requested 90 days. Ms. Hasan said the pool water level was also very low.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 28 days or a fine of \$25 per day.

Case: CE21031058

3132 NE 9 ST
3132 NE 9TH LLC

This case was first heard on 6/8/21 to comply by 6/18/21 and 7/13/21. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance, fines had accrued to \$3,100 and the City was requesting imposition of the fines, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Dorian Koloian, Senior Code Compliance Officer, recommended imposition of the fines.

Narain Lalwani, owner, said his mother had been taken ill and died recently and he requested additional time to comply. He asked Officer Koloian to visit the property to inform him what still needed to be done.

Judge Purdy granted a 56-day extension, during which time no fines would accrue.

Case: CE21030114

3629 SW 12 CT
LOFTUS, THOMAS

This case was first heard on 5/27/21 to comply by 6/6/21. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance, fines had accrued to \$4,950 and the City was requesting imposition of the fines, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Patt Gavin, Code Compliance Officer, reported the violation was complied and fines totaled \$4,900.

Thomas Loftus said the address listed for notice was incorrect. He stated the property was new construction, so commercial vehicles were parking there. Officer Gavin stated vehicles not related to the new construction were parking overnight at the property. Mr. Loftus explained two of the vehicles had been his but he had sold them.

Ms. Hasan said notices had been sent to a Pembroke Park address listed with the Broward County Property Appraiser.

Judge Purdy imposed the \$4,900 fine.

Case: CE21070728

CITATION

914 SE 2 CT

MOORMAN PROPERTIES III LLC

This case was first cited on 8/5/21 to comply by 8/5/21. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance, fines had accrued to \$9,750 and the City was requesting imposition of the fines, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance. No appeal had been received.

Katrina Jordan, Administrative Supervisor, said the owner had failed to register with the City's Landlord Registration Program. She confirmed the property was in compliance as of 9/2/21 and the fine was \$7,250.

Luke Moorman said the owner had been in and out of the hospital for months and Mr. Moorman had difficulty complying online.

Judge Purdy imposed the \$7,250 fine.

Judge Purdy took a brief recess.

Case: CE20100197

1400 NE 53 CT

OAK TREE AVANATH CORAL RIDGE;

ISLES LLC % OAK TREE MGMNT LTD

This case was first heard on 3/9/21 to comply by 4/14/21. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was in compliance, fines had accrued to \$3,500 and the City was requesting the full fine be imposed.

Malaika Murray, Code Compliance Officer, stated the new property managers had been diligent in working toward compliance.

Kevin McMak, owner, said they had experienced challenges with the property management. Once the owners were aware of the violations, they had worked with a local contractor to clear them.

Stephanie Bass, Code Compliance Supervisor, said the owners had been unable to request an extension when changing property management. She recommended abatement of the fines. Administrative costs totaled \$488.

Judge Purdy imposed administrative costs of \$488.

Case: CE20120191

1345 NE 15 AVE

ESIN, JOHN & ARLENE

Service was via posting at the property on 8/12/21 and at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Paulette DelGrosso, Code Compliance Officer, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: 25-13

GRAVEL HAS BEEN PLACED ON THE RIGHT-OF-WAY WITHOUT A PERMIT.

47-21.9.K.

DECORATIVE STONE OR GRAVEL IS BEING UTILIZED AT THIS LOCATION IN
EXCESS OF THE MAXIMUM TEN PERCENT (10%) OF THE TOTAL LANDSCAPE AREA.

Officer DelGrosso presented the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 63 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Arlene Esin said they were working with Zoning on the permit application and requested additional time. She said they needed to apply for a parking variance, which would be heard by the Board of Adjustment on November 10. After they received the variance, they would have the work done.

John Esin said they had purchased the property with gravel in place and had covered it with new gravel. He said the City had requested additional information for the permit application. Officer DelGrosso said she had seen the work being done to remove all living ground cover and replace it with pavers and gravel. A neighbor had subsequently complained. Officer DelGrosso would not object to additional time for the owners to go before the Board of Adjustment. Ms. Hasan suggested ordering the owners to attend the hearing after the Board of Adjustment heard their variance request in November.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 91 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Case: CE20110140

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION

1229 MIAMI RD
PAT 2 INVESTMENTS LLC;
% UPSIDE MANAGEMENT LLC

This case was first heard on 4/29/21 to comply by 6/24/21. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance, fines had accrued to \$20,250 and the City was requesting imposition of the fines, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Angie Espinoza, property manager, requested an extension. She said she had been taken ill with COVID, causing a delay. Also, the owner had not been receiving rents and did not have the funds to address the parking violation.

Judge Purdy granted a 91-day extension, during which time no fines would accrue.

Case: CE21050969

2961 SW 11 CT
JACOBSON, RACHEL L

Personal service was made on 8/11/21. Service was also via posting at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Patt Gavin, Code Compliance Officer, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: 9-280(h)(1)

THE FENCE AT THIS PROPERTY IS IN DISREPAIR AND IS NOT BEING MAINTAINED
AS REQUIRED. SPECIFICALLY, AT THE REAR OF THE PROPERTY A SECTION OF
THE CHAIN LINK FENCE IS BROKEN AND BENT INWARD.

9-280(b)

THERE ARE BUILDING PARTS WHICH ARE DETERIORATED AND NOT MAINTAINED.
THERE IS ROTTING WOOD NEAR THE ENTRANCE. THERE ARE SEVERAL WINDOWS
MISSING PANES AND WINDOWS THAT DO NOT OPEN.

9-276.(c)(3)

THERE IS A NEED FOR THE EXTERMINATION OF RODENTS, VERMIN AND/OR OTHER
PESTS PRESENT.

Officer Gavin presented the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 21 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Rachel Jacobson said the lease indicated it was the tenant's responsibility to address any exterminator needs. She had found an exterminator, who arrived at the property unannounced, and the tenant had pulled a gun on him. Ms. Jacobson said the tenant had her phone number blocked, so she could not contact her. As Ms. Jacobson replaced windows, the tenant was breaking them. This tenant had been evicted, so Ms. Jacobson had access to the property and was coordinating having the property cleaned up.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 21 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Case: CE19081904

405 CORAL WAY
VIEIRA, CARLOS

This case was first heard on 1/16/20 to comply by 2/13/20. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was in compliance, fines had accrued to \$6,250 and the City was requesting no fines be imposed.

Lauren Kluffner, representative, thanked the City.

Judge Purdy imposed no fine.

Case: CE-19110032

716 SOLAR ISLE DR
HEDMAN, HENRIK

This case was first heard on 2/20/20 to comply by 4/23/20. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was in compliance, fines had accrued to \$19,400 and the City was requesting the full fine be imposed.

Dorian Koloian, Senior Code Compliance Officer, recommended imposition of the fines.

Mitchell Scarone, representative, said the owner had signed a contract with his company to raise the seawall. They had pulled a permit in January 2020 but soon after had lost a significant amount of their work force due to the pandemic, causing delays.

Officer Koloian recommended reducing the fine to \$3,880.

Judge Purdy imposed a fine of \$3,880 for the time the property was out of compliance.

Case: FC21070005

1401 NE 57 PL
1401 NE 57 PLACE LLC

Service was via posting at the property on 8/30/21 and at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Captain Robert Kisarewich, Fire Inspections Officer, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: 1:4.5.8.6 , FFPC 6th
THE FIRE ALARM SYSTEM HAS NOT BEEN TESTED, INSPECTED AND/OR TAGGED IN
ACCORDANCE WITH THE CODE.

1:13.6.4.3.2.1, FFPC **Complied**
THE FIRE EXTINGUISHER(S) HAS/HAVE NOT BEEN SERVICED AND TAGGED BY A
CERTIFIED PERSON/COMPANY WITHIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS.

Captain Kisarewich presented the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 28 days or a fine of \$100 per day.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 28 days or a fine of \$100 per day.

Case: FC21070003

6300 N ANDREWS AVE
6300 UPTOWN CENTRE LP
% BGS ATTN MICHAEL SCAUZILLO

Service was via posting at the property on 8/30/21 and at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Captain Robert Kisarewich, Fire Inspections Officer, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: 1:13.3.1.1, FFPC 6th
THE FIRE SPRINKLER SYSTEM IS IN NEED OF SERVICE.

Captain Kisarewich presented the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 28 days or a fine of \$100 per day.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 28 days or a fine of \$100 per day.

Case: CE21020900

3909 NE 21 AVE 1
HALLMAN, ARLAN JAY

Service was via posting at the property on 8/16/21 and at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Malaika Murray, Code Compliance Officer, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: 9-306
STRUCTURAL PARTS INCLUDING FASCIA AND PERIMETER WALL PANELING/WOOD
SLATS ARE IN DISREPAIR AND REQUIRES MAINTENANCE.

9-280(b)
THERE ARE BUILDING PARTS WHICH ARE DETERIORATED AND NOT MAINTAINED.
THERE ARE DAMAGED WINDOW SCREENS AT THE UNIT.

18-12(a)
THERE IS OVERGROWTH AND DEBRIS ON THIS PROPERTY.

18-11(b)
THE SWIMMING POOL LOCATED AT THIS UNOCCUPIED PROPERTY HAS STAGNANT
WATER, TRASH AND DEBRIS. THE POOL IN THIS CONDITION PRESENTS A HEALTH
AND SAFETY ISSUE FOR THE COMMUNITY IN THAT IT MAY BECOME A BREEDING
GROUND FOR MOSQUITOS AND IS A PUBLIC NUISANCE.

Officer Murray presented the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance with 18-11(b) within 7 days or a fine of \$100 per day; with 18-12(a) within 10 days or a fine of \$50 per day and with the remaining two violations within 28 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered with 18-11(b) within 7 days or a fine of \$100 per day; with 18-12(a) within 10 days or a fine of \$50 per day and with the remaining two violations within 28 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Case: CE21050697

131 SW 31 AVE
CANNELLA, JANICE

Personal service was made on 8/11/21. Service was also via posting at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Patt Gavin, Code Compliance Officer, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: 18-4(c)

THERE IS A DERELICT VEHICLE ON THE PROPERTY.

18-1.

THERE IS OUTDOOR STORAGE AT THIS PROPERTY THAT IS CREATING A PUBLIC NUISANCE THAT CONSISTS OF BROOMS, BUCKETS, WOOD, CANS AND OTHER MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS. IT IS BEING MAINTAINED IN SUCH A MANNER THAT THE PROPERTY IS OR MAY REASONABLY BECOME INFESTED OR INHABITED BY RODENTS, VERMIN OR WILD ANIMALS, OR MAY FURNISH A BREEDING PLACE FOR MOSQUITOS, OR THREATENS OR ENDANGERS THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY OR WELFARE OR MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT AND IMPAIR THE ECONOMIC WELFARE OF ADJACENT PROPERTIES.

9-304(b)

THERE ARE VEHICLES PARKED ON THE GRASS/LAWN AREA.

24-27.(b)

THERE ARE WASTE CONTAINERS LEFT ROADSIDE OVERNIGHT AFTER COLLECTION DAY AND NOT PULLED BACK TO AN APPROVED LOCATION.

Officer Gavin presented the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 14 days or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 14 days or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation.

Case: CE21050825

472 E EVANSTON CIR
AUGUSTIN, ERANISE H/E;
AUGUSTIN, JOCELYN

Service was via posting at the property on 8/11/21 and at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Patt Gavin, Code Compliance Officer, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: 47-39.A.1.b.(6)(b)

THERE IS OUTDOOR STORAGE OF ITEMS INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL, BUCKETS AND FOOD CONTAINERS, OLD TIRES, AN OLD TOILET AND OTHER MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS.

18-1. **Complied**

THERE IS OUTDOOR STORAGE THAT CONSISTS OF CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL, BUCKETS AND FOOD CONTAINERS AT THIS PROPERTY THAT IS CREATING A PUBLIC NUISANCE. IT IS BEING MAINTAINED IN SUCH A MANNER THAT THE PROPERTY IS OR MAY REASONABLY BECOME INFESTED OR INHABITED BY RODENTS, VERMIN OR WILD ANIMALS, OR MAY FURNISH A BREEDING PLACE FOR MOSQUITOS, OR THREATENS OR ENDANGERS THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY OR WELFARE OR MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT AND IMPAIR THE ECONOMIC WELFARE OF ADJACENT PROPERTIES.

9-305(b) **Complied**

THE LANDSCAPE AT THIS PROPERTY IS NOT BEING MAINTAINED IN A NEAT AND WELL-KEPT APPEARANCE. THERE ARE AREAS OF DEAD AND MISSING GROUND COVER.

9-313.(a)

PROPERTY IS NOT IDENTIFIED BY APPROVED ADDRESS NUMBERS.

Special Magistrate Hearing

September 14, 2021

Page 15

Officer Gavin presented the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 14 days or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 14 days or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation.

Case: CE21060872

3050 W BROWARD BLVD

MDC COASTAL 17 LLC

Personal service was made on 8/23/21. Service was also via posting at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Patt Gavin, Code Compliance Officer, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: 18-1.

THERE IS CLOTHES AND OTHER PRODUCTS DUMPED AT THE REAR OF THE PROPERTY CAUSING FOUL ODORS AND CREATING A PUBLIC NUISANCE. IT IS BEING MAINTAINED IN SUCH A MANNER THAT THE PROPERTY IS OR MAY REASONABLY BECOME INFESTED OR INHABITED BY RODENTS, VERMIN OR WILD ANIMALS, OR MAY FURNISH A BREEDING PLACE FOR MOSQUITOS, OR THREATENS OR ENDANGERS THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY OR WELFARE OR MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT AND IMPAIR THE ECONOMIC WELFARE OF ADJACENT PROPERTIES.

24-28(a).

THE DUMPSTER ENCLOSURE AT THIS PROPERTY IS NOT SECURED AND THERE IS A LARGE ACCUMULATION OF TRASH AND FOUL ODORS.

9-306

THE EXTERIOR BUILDING WALLS HAVE NOT BEEN MAINTAINED. THERE ARE AREAS OF THE EXTERIOR THAT HAVE STAINS AND MISSING, PEELING PAINT.

47-21.11.A. **Complied**

THE LANDSCAPE CONDITIONS ON THIS PROPERTY ARE NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE ULDR CONDITIONS OF THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE. THERE ARE DEAD TREES, MISSING SHRUBS AND AREAS THAT REQUIRE LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE.

18-12.(a)

THERE IS OVERGROWTH, TRASH, RUBBISH AND DEBRIS ON THIS PROPERTY AND/OR ITS SWALE.

Officer Gavin presented the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance with 9-306 within 21 days or a fine of \$50 per day and with the remaining violations within 7 days or a fine of \$100 per day, per violation.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered compliance with 9-306 within 21 days or a fine of \$50 per day and with the remaining violations within 7 days or a fine of \$100 per day, per violation.

Case: CE21060691

643 N ANDREWS AVE

COMMUNITY 8 PROPERTIES LLC

Service was via posting at the property on 8/17/21 and at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Gustavo Caracas, Code Compliance Officer, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: 18-1. **Complied**

THERE IS GRAFFITI ON THE EXTERIOR WALL AT THIS VACANT PROPERTY THAT IS CREATING A PUBLIC NUISANCE. IT IS BEING MAINTAINED IN SUCH A MANNER THAT THE PROPERTY IS OR MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT AND IMPAIR THE ECONOMIC WELFARE OF ADJACENT PROPERTIES. RECURRING VIOLATION: THIS CASE WILL BE

PRESENTED TO THE SPECIAL MAGISTRATE AS A RECURRING VIOLATION
(CE-20100020, CE-190400474, CE-18102278, CE-17031926, CE-17020293)
WHETHER OR NOT IT COMES INTO COMPLIANCE BEFORE THE HEARING.

Officer Caracas presented the case file into evidence and said the property was in compliance. He requested a finding of fact that the violation had existed as cited.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City that the violation had existed as cited

Case: CE21050392

1044 NW 2 AVE
CURE PROPERTIES LLC

Service was via posting at the property on 8/17/21 and at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Julio Davila, Code Compliance Supervisor, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: 18-12.(a)
THERE IS OVERGROWTH, TRASH, RUBBISH AND DEBRIS ON THIS PROPERTY AND/OR ITS SWALE.

9-280(b)
THERE ARE BUILDING PARTS WHICH ARE DETERIORATED AND NOT MAINTAINED.
THERE IS A PIECE OF THE WOOD STRUCTURE THAT IS MISSING AS WELL AS A MISSING PANE IN THE WINDOW.

9-306
THE EXTERIOR BUILDING WALLS HAVE NOT BEEN MAINTAINED. STRUCTURAL PARTS INCLUDING FASCIA AND SOFFITS ARE IN DISREPAIR. THERE ARE AREAS OF THE EXTERIOR THAT HAVE STAINS AND MISSING, PEELING PAINT.

9-304(b)
THERE ARE VEHICLES/TRAILERS PARKED ON THE GRASS/LAWN AREA, SUCH AS A WHITE HONDA ACCORD.

9-305(b)
THE LANDSCAPE AT THIS PROPERTY IS NOT BEING MAINTAINED IN A NEAT AND WELL-KEPT APPEARANCE. THERE ARE AREAS OF DEAD AND MISSING GROUND COVER.

9-305(a)
THERE IS GROWTH OF LANDSCAPE MATERIAL ALONG WITH AN ACCUMULATION OF LEAVES ENCROACHING UPON THE PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY.

Supervisor Davila presented the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 10 days or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 10 days or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation.

Case: CE21050771

561 NW 17 PL 1-2
BENAVENTE, ROSA NAYTE

Service was via posting at the property on 8/18/21 and at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Julio Davila, Code Compliance Supervisor, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: 9-280(h)(1)
THE FENCE AT THIS PROPERTY IS IN DISREPAIR AND IS NOT BEING MAINTAINED
AS REQUIRED ON BOTH SIDES OF THE PROPERTY.

18-4.(c) **Complied**
THERE IS A DERELICT VEHICLE OR TRAILER ON THE SWALE (OR) ON THE
PROPERTY.

18-1.
THERE ARE HOUSEHOLD APPLIANCES ON THE SIDE OF THE PROPERTY EXPOSED TO
THE ELEMENTS.

18-12.(a)
THERE IS OVERGROWTH, TRASH, RUBBISH AND DEBRIS ON THIS PROPERTY AND/OR
ITS SWALE.

Supervisor Davila presented the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 10 days or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 10 days or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation.

Case: CE21050835

1034 NW 8 AVE 1-2
SIKTIR CORP

Service was via posting at the property on 8/16/21 and at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Julio Davila, Code Compliance Supervisor, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: 18-12.(a)
THERE IS OVERGROWTH, TRASH, RUBBISH AND DEBRIS ON THIS PROPERTY AND/OR
ITS SWALE.

9-304(b)
THERE ARE POTHOLES AND MISSING GRAVEL IN THE DRIVEWAY.

9-313.(a)
PROPERTY IS NOT IDENTIFIED BY APPROVED ADDRESS NUMBERS.

24-27.(b)
THERE ARE WASTE CONTAINERS WHICH ARE NOT BEING STORED CORRECTLY AFTER
PICK UP. THE CONTAINERS ARE BEING STORED WITHOUT SCREENING AND IN
FRONT OF THE BUILDING LINE THAT FACES THE STREET.

Supervisor Davila presented the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 10 days or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 10 days or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation.

Case: CE21060275

1712 NW 8 PL 1-2
DRAGOSLAVIC, GORAN

Service was via posting at the property on 8/18/21 and at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Julio Davila, Code Compliance Supervisor, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: 18-12.(a)
THERE IS OVERGROWTH, TRASH, RUBBISH, AND DEBRIS ON THIS PROPERTY
AND/OR ITS SWALE.

9-306
THE EXTERIOR BUILDING WALLS HAVE NOT BEEN MAINTAINED. STRUCTURAL PARTS
INCLUDING FASCIA AND SOFFITS ARE IN DISREPAIR. THERE ARE AREAS OF
THE EXTERIOR THAT HAVE STAINS AND MISSING, PEELING PAINT.

9-304(b) **Complied**
THERE ARE VEHICLES/TRAILERS PARKED ON THE GRASS/LAWN AREA.

Supervisor Davila presented the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 10 days or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 10 days or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation.

Case: CE21040792
1172 ARIZONA AVE
WHITEMAN, LUC & NICOLE

Personal service was made on 8/11/21. Service was also via posting at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Patt Gavin, Code Compliance Officer, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: 9-304(b) **Complied**
THERE ARE VEHICLES PARKED ON THE GRASS/LAWN AREA.

9-305(b)
THE LANDSCAPE AT THIS PROPERTY IS NOT BEING MAINTAINED IN A NEAT AND
WELL-KEPT APPEARANCE. THERE ARE AREAS OF DEAD AND MISSING GROUND
COVER.

18-1.
THERE IS OUTDOOR STORAGE UNDER THE PORCH AT THIS PROPERTY THAT
CONSISTS OF BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO INDOOR FURNITURE THAT IS CREATING A
PUBLIC NUISANCE. IT IS BEING MAINTAINED IN SUCH A MANNER THAT THE
PROPERTY IS OR MAY REASONABLY BECOME INFESTED OR INHABITED BY RODENTS,
VERMIN OR WILD ANIMALS, OR MAY FURNISH A BREEDING PLACE FOR MOSQUITOS,
OR THREATENS OR ENDANGERS THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY OR WELFARE OR MAY
ADVERSELY AFFECT AND IMPAIR THE ECONOMIC WELFARE OF ADJACENT
PROPERTIES.

Officer Gavin presented the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 21 days or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 21 days or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation.

Case: CE21070792
2466 SW 7 ST
FYR SFR BORROWER LLC;
%HAVENBROOK HOMES

Service was via posting at the property on 8/25/21 and at City Hall on 8/31/21.

Manuel Garcia, Senior Code Compliance Officer, testified to the following violation(s):

VIOLATIONS: 9-305(b)
THE LANDSCAPE AT THIS PROPERTY IS NOT BEING MAINTAINED IN A NEAT AND WELL-KEPT APPEARANCE. THERE ARE AREAS OF DEAD AND MISSING GROUND COVER.

9-304(b)
THERE ARE VEHICLES PARKED/STORED ON THE GRASS/LAWN AREA.

47-34.4.B.1. **Withdrawn**
WITHDRAWN

47-34.4.A.1. **Withdrawn**

Officer Garcia presented the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 42 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Judge Purdy found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 42 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Case: CE21030063

3719 SW 13 CT
BEANHEAD INVESTMENTS LLC

This case was first heard on 5/27/21 to comply by 6/24/21. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance, fines had accrued to \$4,050 and the City was requesting imposition of the fines, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Judge Purdy imposed the \$4,050 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Case: CE21020297

3633 SW 12 CT
BLACK D PROPERTIES LLC

This case was first heard on 5/27/21 to comply by 6/6/21. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was in compliance, fines had accrued to \$8,100 and the City was requesting the full fine be imposed.

Judge Purdy imposed the \$8,100 fine.

Case: CE21050734

349 E DAYTON CIR
HONORE EMPIRE INC

CITATION

This case was first cited on 5/22/21 to comply by 5/25/21. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was in compliance, fines had accrued to \$250 and the City was requesting the full fine be imposed. No appeal had been received.

Judge Purdy imposed the \$250 fine.

Case: CE21080141

CITATION

711 NW 4 AVE 1-3
REAL ESTATE SERVICES & MGMT INC;
% BRIAN FRIEDMAN

This case was first cited on 8/4/21 to comply by 8/4/21. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was in compliance, fines had accrued to \$250 and the City was requesting the full fine be imposed. No appeal had been received.

Judge Purdy imposed the \$250 fine.

Case: CE21070376

CITATION

200 SE 10 TER
RUMZI, BILLY

This case was first cited on 7/29/21 to comply by 7/29/21. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance, fines had accrued to \$11,500 and the City was requesting imposition of the fines, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance. No appeal had been received.

Judge Purdy imposed the \$11,500 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Case: CE21070564

CITATION

241 S FORT LAUDERDALE BEACH BLVD
BROOKLYN 46TH LLC; % JOYNER MGMT CO

This case was first cited on 7/15/21 to comply by 7/15/21. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was in compliance, fines had accrued to \$500 and the City was requesting the full fine be imposed.

Judge Purdy imposed the \$500 fine.

Case: CE21030770

2230 NW 31 AVE
WASHINGTON, W F SR

This case was first heard on 6/8/21 to comply by 8/17/21. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance, fines had accrued to \$8,100 and the City was requesting imposition of the fines, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Judge Purdy imposed the \$8,100 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Case: CE21010826

1531 SW 18 TER
CURTIN, ANNE H/E;
ASCIONE, DON

This case was first heard on 6/8/21 to comply by 7/6/21. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance, fines had accrued to \$6,900 and the City was requesting imposition of the fines, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Judge Purdy imposed the \$6,900 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Staff entered the complied, closed, withdrawn and rescheduled cases listed on pages 40 and 41 into the record as an exhibit.

Cases Complied

The below listed cases were complied since the agenda was published. Additional information regarding respondents, violations, etc. can be found in the agenda, which is incorporated into this record by reference:

None

Cases Rescheduled

The below listed cases were rescheduled since the agenda was published. Additional information regarding respondents, violations, etc. can be found in the agenda, which is incorporated into this record by reference:

CE20110391

CE21040402

Cases Closed

The below listed cases were closed since the agenda was published. Additional information regarding respondents, violations, etc. can be found in the agenda, which is incorporated into this record by reference:

CE21060318

CE21070518

Cases Withdrawn

The below listed cases were withdrawn since the agenda was published. Additional information regarding respondents, violations, etc. can be found in the agenda, which is incorporated into this record by reference:

None

Cases with No Service

The below listed cases had no service. Additional information regarding respondents, violations, etc. can be found in the agenda, which is incorporated into this record by reference:


None

Respondent Non-Appearance

Respondents for the below listed cases did not appear. Additional information regarding respondents, violations, etc. can be found in the agenda, which is incorporated into this record by reference:

None

There being no further business, the hearing was adjourned at 12:13 P.M.


SPECIAL MAGISTRATE

ATTEST:


Clerk, Special Magistrate